

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/619,466	07/16/2003	Chin-Ching Li	BHT-3214-70	7356
7:	7590 05/03/2005		EXAMINER	
TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC			MCKINNON, TERRELL L	
SUITE 1404 5205 LEESBURG PIKE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041			3743	
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/619,466	LI, CHIN-CHING			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Terrell L Mckinnon	3743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the (	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U:S.C. § 133).			
Status					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2003 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se stion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Signifyphodian (i 10-102)			

Application/Control Number: 10/619,466

Art Unit: 3743

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murphy et al. (U.S. 4,776,389).

Murphy discloses a method and apparatus for evacuating and filling heat pipes comprising all of the applicant's claimed and disclosed limitations of the instant invention (column 3, lines 5-36).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphy et al. (U.S. 4,776,389) in view of Luo (U.S. 6,827,133).

Murphy's invention discloses all of the claimed limitations from above except for the bottom of the hollow pipe is integrally connected therebeneath with a plate to render Application/Control Number: 10/619,466

Art Unit: 3743

the hollow pipe to be welded onto the plate to increase heat-sinking effect; and the hollow pipe is connected therebeneath with a heat-producing article when in use.

5. However, Luo teaches the bottom of the hollow pipe being integrally connected therebeneath with a plate to render the hollow pipe to be welded onto the plate to increase heat-sinking effect; and the hollow pipe is connected therebeneath with a heat-producing article when in use.

Given the teachings of Luo, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the heat pipe of Murphy with the bottom of the hollow pipe being integrally connected therebeneath with a plate to render the hollow pipe to be welded onto the plate to increase heat-sinking effect; and the hollow pipe is connected therebeneath with a heat-producing article when in use.

Doing so would provide a thermally efficient heat pipe for dissipating heat from heat generating objects.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references cited on the USPTO 892 discloses related limitations of the applicant's claimed and disclosed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrell L Mckinnon whose telephone number is 571-272-4797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday and every other Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,466 Page 4

Art Unit: 3743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Terrell L Mckinnon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3743

May 2, 2005